United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,001	. 07/29/2003	Winfried Teiwes	SMIN-00100	8546
David R. Stev	7590 04/02/2007	,	EXAM	IINER
Stevens Law Group P.O. Box 1667 San Jose, CA 95109			KUHN, JORDAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/630,001	TEIWES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jordan Kuhn	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS A SECOND IN THE SECOND IN THE SECOND IN THE SECOND AS A SECOND IN THE SECOND AS A SECOND AS	ON. timely filed im the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	ly 2003					
· _						
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ciosed in accordance with the practice under £	x parte Quayre, 1955 C.D. 11,	433 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Annliestian Daners						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•	•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	•				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ved.				
•						
•						
Attachmont(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intonious Summer	n/ (DTO 412)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	• •				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	• 🚍 •	Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/630,001 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9 and 18-19, drawn to a system and method for determining the I. orientation of an eye from fast and slow eye movement, classified in class 606, subclass 4.
 - Claims 10-11, drawn to a system and method for determining the position of an II. eye in three dimensions, classified in class 382, subclass 154.
 - III. Claims 12-13, drawn to a system and method for determining the position of an eye by tracking translational eye and translational head position, classified in class 382, subclass 103.
 - IV. Claims 14-15, drawn to a system and method for determining the position of parts of an eye by measuring offset between pupil center and a fixed feature, classified in class 382, subclass 291.
 - Claims 16-17, drawn to a system and method for low latency eye tracking, V. classified in class 382, subclass 103.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this 2. relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/630,001

Art Unit: 2624

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I does not require making a reference measurement of three or more points on the eye in three dimensions. The subcombination has separate utility such as determining three dimensional position of an eye based on a change in position of the three or more points.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I does not require tracking translational head position. The subcombination has separate utility such as determining the rotation of the eye based on a difference between head position and eye position.

Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I does not require measuring an offset between a pupil center and a fixed feature on the eye. The subcombination has separate utility such as correcting for an offset between a pupil center and a fixed feature on the eye.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,001

Art Unit: 2624

Inventions I and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I does not require selecting relevant lines from an image sensor based on prior knowledge of position of the eye. The subcombination has separate utility such as finding eye position by selecting relevant lines from an image sensor based on prior knowledge of position of the eye.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

3. Inventions II, III, IV, and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as determining three dimensional position of an eye based on a change in position of the three or more points, subcombination III

Application/Control Number: 10/630,001

Art Unit: 2624

has separate utility such as determining the rotation of the eye based on a difference between head position and eye position, subcombination IV has separate utility such as correcting for an offset between a pupil center and a fixed feature on the eye, and subcombination V has separate utility such as selecting relevant lines from an image sensor based on prior knowledge of position of the eye. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jordan Kuhn whose telephone number is 571-272-4295. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 571-272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jordan Kuhn Examiner Art Unit 2624

BHAVESH M MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600